App. F. IX-4

1925

File No. 324-25

Previous References (Mysore)

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later References
Mys 172-29-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 9

Part B for January 1928.

SUBJECT

Management of Sri Nagareswara Nageneswara Temples at Begur Bangalore Tk. Appeal of Lakshmanarao, Archak

---

No 1135 dt 17.1.28
To. Mysore Comr

Directs that the Mr's order be modified in regard to the Contribution drawing the same from Rs 108 to Rs 757/-

G. R. S. 11] 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezpai)

NOTES.

File No. 324-25

FILE HEADING.

Management of Sri Nageswara and Nageswara Swamy temples at Begur, Bangalore Taluk.

From

Lakshmana Rao, Archak of the temples.

No. Petition Dated 2/8/1926. Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1002	-	-	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Represents that the temple Vahivat and management are hereditary in his family, and prays that the inam lands intended for worship, may be left in his possession permanently subject to

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The payment of a reasonable contribution on the income thereof.

1) The petition is duly stamped.

A Dft. calling for a report with reasons is submitted for appl. or otherwise.

NOTES—First Sheet.

8/8/26 2.9.26 3/9/26

2) This is an appeal against the M.C's order A copy of M.C's order is not produced. without it, it cannot be seen if the appeal is in time. why not this petition be returned for production of the copy of the order appealed against.

Serial No

No. 1248 D/30/3/26

Drug

3) The endorsement on the petition may kindly be signed ~~for issue~~ Sub Major

29.3.26.

4) Yes. Issue

V 1/3

By

5) The petitioner has produced a copy of the order appealed against. The Mag Comm's order appealed against is dated 5th Feby 1924 and the appeal is barred by time.

6) The apltt applied for a copy of it on 24-3-1926 and got it on 30-3-1926, as endorsed on the copy in the file.

7) A Off. Calling for report with records is on the file.

8) Submit for orders.

9) If what kind of [illegible]

Come to know the evil of [illegible]

order.

2) On the facts [illegible]

[illegible] it [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] appealed [illegible]

Sessions or an order? Please

examine. And so,

(3) [illegible] original [illegible]

Govt? [illegible]

sufficient [illegible]

Serial No.

10) Re The points raised by the ASM Secretary, The following information is submitted :—

(i) There is no information when The apltt came to know of the M.C's order. If directed, The apltt will be asked to give information.

(ii) On The facts given in the M.C's order, The order does not appear to be questioned.

J 11) The M.C's order directs that all The inam lands endowed for Sailey Puja including the Village of Chandranathapura given for Padithara Deeparadhane be allowed to continue in The possession of The apltt on his agreeing to pay an annual Contribution of Rs 108 calculated at 18% on The total income of Rs 595-12-0 or Rs 600/ in round numbers.

12 Rs points out by The apltt, The inam lands consist of lands included for Taje, Bhajanpai and Mattapushpa Services which are enjoyed by others, and that it does not seem correct to ask The pltff to pay a Contribution of Rs 108/ taking into account The income from these lands also. The

WD 01264—GPB—40, 0—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mur File No. 324 of 1925

Serial No

total income from the lands including those which the aplt is authorized to enjoy by the M-C., is taken as Rs 585).

13 The order in question has been passed by the M.C. and an appeal against his order lies to Govt. —

14 The appeal petition contains grounds which seem to deserve consideration: —

15) The Muz. Comr directs that the Inam lands may continue in the possession of the aplt - Validation - on stipulated conditions while the aplt complains that the local authorities have prescribed a time limit of 5 years. The aplt complains that there was unhealthy competition for the lease of these lands, and the income on which the contribution is calculated, is over-estimated.

16 The aplt further complains that the Treasury officer who visited the spot has made a false report about the condition of the temple buildings and timely repairs therein, and that they have cost not 4 to 5 thousand Rupees as [illegible]

1092 (5

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mer. File No. 324 of 1925.

Serial No.

The renovation of the temple buildings and the improvement of the lands, and that, without taking into Consideration these and other points, the Contribution is fixed at a high figure viz 18%.

17) Submr. for orders.

Ag 15.5.26.

18) A report on the points alleged by the Petr. in his Appeal Petn together with connected records may be called for from the M.C.

Sd 15-5-26

17) Draft, accordingly, is put up, for orders.

Rev. Secy 15/5

Issue ord. 20/5 20/5

2. To. mer Collector

No 1493, Dt 22.5.26

forwards the petn & calls for report with records.

3. From, the Insp. Comr.

letter no C. 1367 Dt 11.2.1925.

Reports that these mths have a number of inam lands with a poor income, and as a matter of concession, the Sthanik has been allowed the enjoyment of these lands. Subject

Note—Contn Sheet

Serial No.

to the payment of a contribution of Rs 108/, that as he has withheld the payment of the contribution he does not deserve any further concessions and the order of his predecessor has to be enforced, and adds that there is no reason to appoint the petr as sole Valiavidar.

V
I

2. The Amildar's report dt 25.9.26 and the D.C's letter dt 19.1.27 explain the facts of the case.

The contentions of the Anchak appellant are summarized in paras 12 to 17 of the office note.

4. The Anchak complains that the M.C's order directs him to pay contribution on all the inam lands (including those given Taje, Bhajantri and mantra and prays that the contribution may be levied on those inam lands given to him for Puja and Paditara Deeparadhane. In para 2 of his letter, after taking into account the paid by the Anchak towards arrears due, the D.C. says that a balance of Rs 115/ is still due by the Anchak

(Details noted in the M.C's order dt 5.2.24).

R.

I

WD 01229—GPB —37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 324 of 1925

Serial No.

The Archak prays that as he has been paying contribution the temple Lands given to his possession, the Lands need not be auctioned once in 5 years and that as he has spent money towards improvement to the temple building, Some relief ~~by way of~~ may be afforded to him, and further on a perusal of all the circumstances of this case, the per centage Contribution fixed by the M at 18, may be lowered Sub. Submitted for orders.

10.3.27.

10 3 27

Please find out if it is true that the Archak has spent 4 to 5 thousand rupees for repairs to the building. How much land is in the enjoyment of other people as stated by the petitioner. What is the percentage of contribution received in Thenpasimalai case?

5/4

D/L. Submitted as directed. (In addition to the inam lands intended for daily puja, there are inam lands intended for Bhajanai and manthapam etc.)

8/4/27.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB —37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2J

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mur File No. 324 of 1925-26

Serial No. ~~4~~ ~~To [illegible]~~

Issued
Mur
8/4

4 To, Mur Comm
No 1983 Dt 12.4.27
Calls for further
info in the matter
From, Lakshmana Roo.
Petition Dt 4.6.1927.
States that the local au
are taking steps for the recovery
of certain arrears alleged to be due
by him etc. and prays that pending
disposal of his appeal petition,
no coercive steps be taken for
recovery of the arrears, and that
the Sista need not be auctioned
for 5 years etc.
2) The M.C's further report on the
subject is awaited.

Sent 5/4/27

5 The petr. States that
has already paid Rs 325 for
arrears due by him in addition
to the deposit amount of Rs 25/-
and that steps are being taken
for the recovery of a further
Sum of Rs 75/- Though this
amount is not rightly due by

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 324 of 1926.

Serial No.

and prays for stay of execution of the orders of the local authorities.

29 Submit for orders as to whether stay order may be given pending the decision of the Privy Council case by Govt.

BH
7.6.27

BH
7.6.27

30 This is an appeal from an order passed by the Munsif Court in regard to certain temple lands. The appellant Archaka who at present has possession of the lands objects to pay the dues according to the decision of the M.C. Certain information has been called for on the file and is awaited before submitting the case for orders. In the meanwhile the appellant asks for a stay order. There is no interest involved that would be injured if a stay order is not issued. The man has to make some payment and if he does not accept the decision may pay it under protest. Hence stay M.C's order will only accumulate a demand that after all may be declared by Govt. also to be properly due. The M.C. will if approved be reminded as

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3 27

Serial No

the appellant informed that no stay order can be given

MW 7/6

THE ECONOMIC COUNCIL
7 JUNE 1927
No. 7426

8331 11.9.10

31

Second Member. 32 League minister 7/6/27 h/

DO. Submr. 33 2 Dfts & appl

AH 13.6.27. RH/14.6.27

Issue MW 10/6

6. To, Mur Comms No 2378 dy 22.6.27 forwards the above petn & calls for Subn of the Report asce.

7 Endt No 2379 dy 22.6.27 to Lakshmana Rao. Informs him of the above

8. From, The Madras Govt. Letter no. e. 1347 dy 28.10.1927 Submits the further report called for on the Subject.

The M C reports that it is not a fact that the appellant has spent 4 to 5 Thousand Rupees for repairs to the temple building, and that the Bhajanta-

NOTE Contn. Sheet

531

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 324 of 1925.

Serial No.

and mantrapushpa Servants are in the enjoyment of some Services as lessees. As regards the levy of 18% Contribution, he reports that it covers Contribution at the rate of 3% towards Imp. & Sinking Fund and 15% reserve towards the repairs of the Building.

Submit. For orders whether the Case may not be heard by Govt.

AV 24.11.1927

RL 24.11.27

Subd with reference to para 32. The M.C reports that the allegations in the petition that the petitioner Archak has spent money from the repairs of the temple is not correct. The levy of contribution at 30% (out of 15% reserve) seems to be fair. The petition may be rejected the arrangement ordered by the M.C confirmed

MW 27/11

Second Member

Is Court ? there is the Petitioner petition? Does he ask to be heard

(sd) 28/11/27

NOTE—Contn sheet

29/11

GIL NOV 27 2955

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 324 of 192 S.

Serial No.

Petitions addressed to Govt by the Archak, are flagged.

In one of his petitions, dt 30/9/27 the Archak says that enquiries may be made in his presence and suitable orders passed in the case. If directed, this case will be posted to the 17th Dec. along with other cases

Submitted.

AV
29. 11. 1927.
Second Member

29.11.27

SR. 2 NOV. 1927 2985

A. Ojha
Munsh
30.11.27

Dfr. notices submitted
AV
3.12.27

Parties not present — Report of Service or no Service of notices issued has not been received and its receipt may be awaited. In the meantime fresh notices may also be issued for the case being taken up on 17th January 1928.

17-12-27

(17th Jany
(Notices issued on the 17th

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 324 of 192 5.

Serial No.

3649/7.1

The case was heard on 7th January 1923

The Appellant was represented by his Counsel Mr. C. Nagappa.

O R D E R.

It has been ascertained by enquiry of the Muzrai Commissioner that the Rajantri and Mantrapushpa lands are not in the enjoyment of the Appellant. In view of this fact, the annual contribution to be paid by him towards the Reserve will be reduced to Rs 75/-. In other respects, the order of the Muzrai Commissioner will stand.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 7 JAN 1923 3771

AV 9/1/25

Muzle 7/1/25

Sub' & H.S Comm 11.1.28

Dy. C.O Shimoga AV 10.1.28. 11-1-28

9 memo Issue
No 1135 & 17.1.28
to mue court.
Pass order on the matter
No 1136 & 17.1.28 Copy to Accountant

17/3

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24 5 27

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Jud.

File No. 324-25

Diary No. of letter replied to... ...

Seria No. 2

No. 1493

Rev 2

To The Inuz. Comr

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for connected records report, petition no. 1002 of 2-3-1926 from Dasa Mana Rao, Archak of Sri Nageswara and Naganeswara Swamy temples at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk representing that the temple Vahivati and management are hereditary in his fam.

Date of issue 22/5/26

Signed by Jr. N. a fuda

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

and praying that the
vicars lands intended for
puja, may be left in his
possession permanently
subject to the payment
of a reasonable contribution
on the income therefrom to
I have the.

15/5
Dy Registrar
Sd
20/3/16

Noo file here

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 8th February *192* 7.

4/

FROM

P.G. D'Souza, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
12 FEB 1927
MUZRAI

D 1135

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

Muz 324-25

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1493/Muz dated 23-5-26 forwarding for report with records petition from Lakshmana Rao, Archak of the Sri Nagareswara and Nageswara Swamy temples at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk, representing that the temple Vahivat and management are hereditary in his family and praying that the Inam lands intended for worship may be left in his possession permanently subject to the recovery from him of a reasonable contribution, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. C.3.1513-25 dated 19-1-27 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangal District explaining the facts of the case and to state that these institutions have a number of Inam lands and have a good income from them It is purely as a matter of concession that the Archak has been allowed the enjoyment of these lands subject to the payment of Rs 108/- as contribution to the institutions. In spite of the above concession he has withheld the payment of the contribution. In these circumstances it seems to me that he does not deserve any further concessions and the order of my predecessor has to be rigidly enforced. Archaks of village institutions cannot only be treated as hereditary managers of those institutions and ~~their~~ not as sole Vahivatdars. There is no reason why any exception should be made in this case, especially when steps are being taken to appoint Dharmadarsis.

This Office File No. R.Dis No.117 of 23-24 dated 5-2-24 containing

the

the order referred to by the Deputy Commissioner is also enclosed.

The records received from the Deputy Commissioner are herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Dewan Ropp

for Muzrai Commissioner.

Sd/ (Absent from Station)

Copy of the letter No.C.3-1513-25 dated 19-1-27 received from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner.

With reference to your letter No C.347-25-26 dated 4th June 1926 and subsequent reminders thereon forwarding for report petition from Lakshmana Rao, Archak of Sri Nageswara and Nageswara Swami Temple at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk praying that the temple Vahivat and management are hereditary and that the Inam lands intended for worship may be left in his possession etc., I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, reports that in accordance with the Muzrai Commissioner's order No. R.Dis.117/23-24 dated 5th February 1924 all the lands were restored to the Vahivatdar in 1923-24 that since then the lessees have not been paying any money to the treasury but perhaps to the Archak, that the latter has notbeen paying Rs 108/- as directed and he is contending that the rate is excessive and the calculation on the income from the lands in the possession of temple servants is inequitable.

It is further reported that even according to his contention, the Archak has to pay Rs 80/- per year at 15% on Rs 521/- and he expected income from the lands and other means, that the party has to pay Rs 400/- in all for 5 years. Out of this he has paid Rs 108/- and that Rs 59/- is being paid to the temple funds by Vallabharayappa for 3 years including 1926-27. The balance of Rs 115/- has to be paid by the Archak to the funds even as per his statement.

It is further reported that from the records with the Archak it is seen that the Archak's ancestors have been closely connected with the situation, that the Archak now prays that he may be styled as sole Vahivatdar, as he is also agreeable to be amenable to the Control of the Dharmadarsis appointed by Government.

The petition with its enclosures received with your letter

under

under reply is submitted herewith. together with the Amildar's report No.H.C.360/26-27 dated 4th instant.

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

OBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Inam

File No. 324/1925

Serial No. 4

No. 1983 Encl. 2 files

Diary No. of letter replied to 1138

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Inam)

the 192

To

The Inam Comr.

Date of issue. 12-2-25

Signed by Mr M Venkatesa Iyengar

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No C. 1347 Dt 11-2-1925 reporting on the petition of Lakshmana Rao, Archak of Sri Nagaeswara and Nageswara Swamy temples at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk ~~representing that the temple~~ praying that the inam lands intended for worship may be left in his possession permanently subject to the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

recovery from him of a reasonable contribution, I am directed to enquire if it is true that the Archaka has spent as alleged by him, 4 to 5 Thousand Rupees for repairs to the building and how much land is in the enjoyment of these people as stated by the petr. In this connection, I am to invite your reference to G.O. no. 705-06 - Muz. 245-25 dtd 19 th Octr 1926 ~~stipulating~~ directing the levy of contribution at the rate of 3% in the case of minor inams, and to request to be informed ~~as to~~ why the levy of 18% contribution has been ordered in this case.

(2) The favor of a very early reply is requested.

(3) The Vem. records are herewith returned for ref. & re-turn.

I have etc.

Asst. Secretary

Section Inwz.

File No. 324/1925

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to 1798

No. 2378

To

The Inwz. Comr

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

In course of this office letter no. 1983 – Inwz. 324-1925 dt 12.4.27 calling for a report on the petition of Archak Lakshmana Rao re The inam lands endowed to Sri Nagareswara and Nageswara Swamy temples at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith another petition no. 1798 from the said Archak, and to request that the Sub Collector of The report called for.

Date of issue. 22-6-27

Signed by. for Venkatesa Iyengar

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by. 22.6.27

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

on the subject, may be expected.

I have the
honour
to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servt.
14.6.27

BJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mer

File No. 324-25-

Serial No. 7

No. 2379.

To

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue. 22-6-25

Signed by M. Venkatara Iyengar

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 01265—GPB—31,000—24-12-25

C 13 4 7/25-26
one file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore,

Dated Bangalore the 22nd October 1927.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 1 OCT 1927 MUZRAI D537

From

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore, BANGALORE.

Muz 324-25-8

To

B. Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

6417

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1983/Muz. 324-25-4 dated 12th April 1927 enquiring if it is true that the Archak of Sri Nageswara Swamy and Nageswara Swamy temples at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk has spent as alleged by him four to five thousand rupees for repairs to the building and how much land is in the enjoyment of other people as stated by the petitioner, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No. C.3.1513-25 dated 8th October 1927 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District reporting that it is not a fact that he has spent 4 to 5 thousand rupees for repairs to the temple building and that the Bhajantries and Mantrapushpa servicedars are in the enjoyment of Sur. Nos. 289 & 293 and Sur.Nos. 130 & 160 respectively , they themselves being the lessees. As regards the levy of 18% contribution , I beg to state that it covers contribution at the rate of 3 % towards Muzrai Establishment Fund and 15 % reserve towards the repairs of the ------- Building.

The file of papers are herewith submitted for favor of reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

P G B Sd

Muzrai Commissioner.

Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner In Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. C. 1348/26-27 dated the 21st April 1927 reggrding the retoration of the Inam lands endowed for worship in the Sri Nagreswara and Nageswara Temples at Begur in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the ---- correspondence ending with Dodballapur S.D.O's No. 1617/26-27 dated 11 the August 1927 and to state that the Amildar reports that the fact that the Archak has spent 4 to 5 thousand rupees for repairs to the temple building is not true, the Building being in a fair state of preservation --that survey Nos. 289 & 293 are in the enjoy ment of Bhajantries and Survey Nos. 130 & 260 in the enjoyment of Mantrapushpa servicedars , they themselves being the lessees.

As regards the levy of 18 % contribution, I beg to invite kind attention to your order No. R.Dis 117/23-24 dated 5th February 1924 when it was directed that as the annual estimated income of these Institutions amounted to Rs.600/- the levy of 18 % as Contri bution towards reserve appeared fair.

3. The file of papers received with the Dodballapur S.D.O's Memo No. C.2. 1617/26-27 dated the 11th August 1927 is herewith submitted together with the several petitions received in the matter.

" C O P Y "

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Misc Appeal No. 6 of 1927-8

Appellant ~~Vs.~~ ~~Respondent~~

Archak Lakshmana Rao

Subject.

Appeal against the order directing among things, that the main lands endowed to Sri Nageswara and Nagaramma Swamy temples at Begur, Bangalore Taluk, may be allowed to continue in the possession of the appt.-Archak subject to the payment of a contribution of Rs 10/-

The case was heard on 7th January 1928

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

The apltt. was represented by his counsel Mr. C. Nagappa.

Order

It has been ascertained by enquiry of the Muz. Commr. that the Bhajantri and Mantrapushpa lands are not in the enjoyment of the apltt. In view of this fact, the annual contribution to be paid by him towards the Reserve will be reduced to Rs 75/-. In these respects, the order of the M.C. will stand.

Memo No. 1135 & 1171-28
Mys 324-4-9 Encl file.

Copy to the M.C. for his info. The records received with his letter no. C. 1347 of 28.10.27

B.O 11.3.6 & 7

Copy to the Thro.

Bly. 1.25

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 6 of 1927-28

Appellant. ~~Respondent~~

Archak Lakshmana Rao

Subject.

Appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's order directing among other things, that the Inam lands endowed to Sri Nageswara and Nageswara Swamy temples at Begur, Bangalore Taluk, may be allowed to continue in the possession of the appellant Archak subject to the payment of a contribution of Rs. 108/-

---

The case was heard on 7th January 1928. The appellant was represented by his Counsel Mr. C. Nagappa.

O r d e r .

It has been ascertained by ~~enquiring~~ enquiry of the Muzrai Commissioner that the Bhajantri and Mantrapushpa lands are not in the enjoyment of the appellant. In view of this fact, the annual contribution to be paid by him towards the Reserve will be reduced to Rs. 75/-. In other respects, the order of the Muzrai Commissioner will stand.

---

memo No. 1135 dated, Bangalore, the 17th January 1928.

Mey 324-28-9 Income file.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore returning the records received with his letter No. C.1347 dated 28th October 1927.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

2

(32)

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
18 JAN 1928
MUZRAI
D925

Signed
Copy may be
supplied.
KM
17.1.28

18/1/28

24/1/28